

**REMARKS**

This amendment is filed in response to the Office Action dated November 27, 2007. In that Action, the Examiner closed prosecution on the merits in accordance with *Ex Parte Quayle*, but objected to Claim 1 regarding an antecedent reference, objected to the specification regarding support for the claim language of “iteratively” sensing operating parameters, and required appropriate action regarding withdrawn Claims 23-26 and 50-53.

Applicant has placed this case in condition for allowance in accordance with the Office Action. Claim 1 has been amended to insert “the” before “sensed operating parameters”, and a similar amendment has been made to Claim 27. The specification has been amended to add “iteratively” in the context of receiving sensory data at page 12, line 9. Claims 23-26 and 50-53 have been canceled.

Applicant has made a diligent effort to advance the prosecution of this application by amending claims, deleting others, and amending the specification. In view of the amendments and remarks set forth herein, the application is believed to be in condition for allowance and a notice to that effect is solicited. Nonetheless, should any issues remain that might be subject to resolution through a telephonic interview, the examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

/Jack V. Musgrove/

Jack V. Musgrove  
Attorney for Applicant  
Reg. No. 31,986  
Telephone: 512-689-6116